## The Evidence Lower Bound

François Fleuret

March 2, 2024

Given i.i.d training samples  $x_1, \ldots, x_N$  we want to fit a model  $p_{\theta}(x, z)$  to it, maximizing

$$\sum_{n} \log p_{\theta}(x_n).$$

If we do not have an analytical form of the marginal  $p_{\theta}(x_n)$  but only the expression of  $p_{\theta}(x_n, z)$ , we can get an estimate of the marginal by sampling z with any distribution q

$$p_{\theta}(x_n) = \int_z p_{\theta}(x_n, z) dz$$
$$= \int_z \frac{p_{\theta}(x_n, z)}{q(z)} q(z) dz$$
$$= \mathbb{E}_{Z \sim q(z)} \left[ \frac{p_{\theta}(x_n, Z)}{q(Z)} \right].$$

So if we sample a Z with q and maximize

$$\frac{p_{\theta}(x_n, Z)}{q(Z)},$$

we do maximize  $p_{\theta}(x_n)$  on average.

But we want to maximize  $\sum_{n} \log p_{\theta}(x_n)$ . If we use the log of the previous expression, we can decompose its average value as

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{E}_{Z \sim q(z)} \left[ \log \frac{p_{\theta}(x_n, Z)}{q(Z)} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{Z \sim q(z)} \left[ \log \frac{p_{\theta}(Z \mid x_n) p_{\theta}(x_n)}{q(Z)} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{Z \sim q(z)} \left[ \log \frac{p_{\theta}(Z \mid x_n)}{q(Z)} \right] + \log p_{\theta}(x_n) \\ &= -\mathbb{D}_{\mathsf{KL}}(q(z) \| p_{\theta}(z \mid x_n)) + \log p_{\theta}(x_n). \end{split}$$

Hence this does not maximize  $\log p_{\theta}(x_n)$  on average, but a *lower bound* of it, since the KL divergence is non-negative. And since this maximization pushes that KL term down, it also aligns  $p_{\theta}(z \mid x_n)$  and q(z), and we may get a worse  $p_{\theta}(x_n)$  to bring  $p_{\theta}(z \mid x_n)$  closer to q(z).

However, all this analysis is still valid if q is a parameterized function  $q_{\alpha}(z \mid x_n)$  of  $x_n$ . In that case, if we optimize  $\theta$  and  $\alpha$  to maximize

$$\mathbb{E}_{Z \sim q_{\alpha}(z|x_n)} \left[ \log \frac{p_{\theta}(x_n, Z)}{q_{\alpha}(Z \mid x_n)} \right],$$

it maximizes  $\log p_{\theta}(x_n)$  and brings  $q_{\alpha}(z \mid x_n)$  close to  $p_{\theta}(z \mid x_n)$ . A point that may be important in practice is

$$\mathbb{E}_{Z \sim q_{\alpha}(z|x_{n})} \left[ \log \frac{p_{\theta}(x_{n}, Z)}{q_{\alpha}(Z \mid x_{n})} \right]$$
  
=  $\mathbb{E}_{Z \sim q_{\alpha}(z|x_{n})} \left[ \log \frac{p_{\theta}(x_{n} \mid Z)p_{\theta}(Z)}{q_{\alpha}(Z \mid x_{n})} \right]$   
=  $\mathbb{E}_{Z \sim q_{\alpha}(z|x_{n})} \left[ \log p_{\theta}(x_{n} \mid Z) \right]$   
-  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathsf{KL}}(q_{\alpha}(z \mid x_{n}) || p_{\theta}(z)).$ 

This form is useful because for certain  $p_{\theta}$  and  $q_{\alpha}$ , for instance if they are Gaussian, the KL term can be computed exactly instead of through sampling, which removes one source of noise in the optimization process.